UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/593,006	08/18/2008	Angela Bardotti	PP028135.0002	6482
27476 7590 08/20/2010 NOVARTIS VACCINES AND DIAGNOSTICS INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY- X100B			EXAMINER	
			DEVI, SARVAMANGALA J N	
P.O. BOX 8097 Emeryville, CA 94662-8097			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1645	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/593,006	BARDOTTI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. Devi, Ph.D.	1645
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING II - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioder Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18. 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-17 and 19-34 are subject to restrict	awn from consideration.	t.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiration.	ecepted or b) objected to by the edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is a	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicatority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/593,006 Page 2

Art Unit: 1645

Lack of Unity & Species Election

1) Claim 18 has been canceled.

Claims 4-6, 19, 20 and 27-31 have been amended.

Claims 1-17 and 19-34 are under prosecution.

2) As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 C.F.R 1.475(e).

- 3) As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:
- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or
 - (2) A product and process of use of said product; or

Application/Control Number: 10/593,006

Art Unit: 1645

(3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or

Page 3

- (4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process; or
- (5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

4) Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-15 and 32-34, drawn to a method of analyzing a sample's unconjugated saccharide content
- II. Claims 16, 17 and 19-28, drawn to a method of separating a conjugated saccharide component in a sample from an unconjugated saccharide component using sold phase extraction
- III. Claim 29, drawn to solid phase extraction device
- IV. Claim 30, drawn to effluent
- V. Claim 31, drawn to eluate
- 5) The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they fail to define a technical feature that is "special" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 for

Application/Control Number: 10/593,006 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

the following reasons. Both the first and the second claimed methods do not define a technical feature over the art as evidenced by Bardotti *et al.* (*Vaccine* 18: 1982-1993, 3 April 2000) or EP 0462794 A, both of record in the International Search and Examination Reports. Because the first appearing technical feature does not define a contribution over the art, it is not considered "special" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2 and as such the groups lack unity of invention. The inventions listed as Groups III-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the device, the effluent, and the eluate are three different entities and as such do not share the same technical feature.

Election of Species

- 6) This application contains claims directed to more than one combination conjugate species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features as these species do not share *significant* common structure and immunospecificity. At least one meningococcal serogroup glycoconjugate species in the combined vaccine has mutually exclusive structural, antigenic and/or immunospecific characteristics. In addition, the different serogroup species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.
 - (a) Serogroups C and Y (claims 11 and 25);
 - (b) Serogroups A, C, W135 and Y (claims 13 and 27);
 - (c) Serogroups A and C (claims 13 and 28); and
 - (d) Serogroups C, W135 and Y (claims 12 and 26).

Application/Control Number: 10/593,006

Art Unit: 1645

Page 5

Claims 1-10 of invention I, and claims 16, 17 and 19-25 of invention II are generic.

7) Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of **one** invention and **one** species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention or species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions have unity of invention (37 CFR 1.475(a)), applicant must provide reasons in support thereof. Applicant may submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. Where such evidence or admission is provided by applicant, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

8) Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Central Fax number, (571) 273-8300, which receives transmissions 24 hr a day and 7 days a week.

Application/Control Number: 10/593,006 Page 6

Art Unit: 1645

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.Mov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAA system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

10) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to S. Devi, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0854. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail system. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7.15 a.m. to 4.15 p.m. except one day each bi-week, which would be disclosed on the Examiner's voice mail system.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Larry Helms, can be reached on (571) 272-0932.

/S. Devi/ Primary Examiner AU 1645

August, 2010